UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,809	04/13/2006		Avi Avramoff	30825	5244	
Martin D Move	7590 uihan	01/30/2008		EXAMINER		
Martin D Moynihan Prtsi Inc				WESTERBERG, NISSA M		
PO Box 16446 Arlington, VA				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				4173		
		•				
•				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				01/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/575,8	09	AVRAMOFF ET AL.					
(Office Action Summary	Examine	7	Art Unit					
		Nissa M.	Westerberg	4173					
TI Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communiceply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) Re:	sponsive to communication(s) filed	d on							
2a)∐ Thi	s action is FINAL. 2	b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.		•				
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
Clo	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims	·.							
4)⊠ Cla	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)∭ Cla	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
•	im(s) is/are objected to.				•				
8)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are	subject to restriction	n and/or election requ	irement.					
Application	Papers			•					
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Арр	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3.[3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
					-				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P7 on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	ГО-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1 - 6, 8, 10 - 16, 18, 20, 21, 23 - 32, 34, 36 - 42, 44, 46, 47, 49- 57.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1 - 6, 8, 10 - 16, 18, 20, 21, 23 - 32, 34, 36 - 42, 44, 46, 47, 49- 57.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 – 6, 8, 10 – 16, 18, 20, 21, 23 – 25, 51 – 57 drawn to a stable composition comprising a substrate comprising lansoprazole or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, a subcoating layer comprising an alkaline agent and an enteric coating.

Group II, claim(s) 26 – 32, 34, 36 – 42, 44, 46, 47, 49 and 50, drawn to a method for administering a therapeutically effective amount of lansoprazole to a subject.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the claimed subject matter is not a contribution over the prior art and therefore cannot constitute a special

Application/Control Number: 10/575,809 Page 3

Art Unit: 4173

technical feature. Claim 53 of the instant application recites a lansoprazole composition comprising a substrate with the active agent, a subcoating layer comprising an alkaline agent and enteric coating material that is compressed into a tablet dosage form.

Palomo Coll (US Patent 5,232,706, cited on PTO-1449) describes an oral pharmaceutical preparation of omeprazol comprising a nucleus of omeprazol or an alkali salt of omeprazol mixed with a first basic component, another intermediate (subcoating) layer of an excipient and a second basic compound and an enteric coating layer (col 2, ln 12 – 19). The mixture of compounds is then formulated as tablets (col 3, ln 1 – 2). Kodama et al. (US Patent 6,235,709) states that both omeprazol and lansoprazol are proton pump inhibitors (col 6, ln 6 – 8). Both of these compounds are members of the benzimidazole class of proton pump inhibitors. Substitution of one benzimidazole proton pump inhibitor for another is not an inventive step.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The ingredients in the layer comprising lansoprazole.

Species Election Requirement

Regardless of the restriction group elected above, Applicant is required to elect if the substrate or layer comprising the lansoprazole does not include an alkaline agent or does include an alkaline agent.

Page 4

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The absence of an alkaline agent in the layer with the lansoprazole is required in claims 1 – 6, 8, 10 – 16, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27 – 32, 34, 36 – 42, 44, 46, 47, and 49 – 52.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: benzimidazole

Art Unit: 4173

compounds are stable at basic pH but rapidly decompose at neutral or acidic pH (US Patent '706, col 1, ln 28 – 30). The presence or absence of alkaline ingredient therefore alters the stability of the active ingredient and the nature of the pharmaceutical composition.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Joint Inventorship

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,809 Page 6

Art Unit: 4173

Rejoinder Notice

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,809

Art Unit: 4173

Page 7

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nissa M. Westerberg whose telephone number is (571)270-3532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:00 a.m. - 4 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER